

CITY OF FENTON
625 NEW SMIZER MILL ROAD, FENTON, MO 63026
MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN COMMITTEE MEETING
THURSDAY, MARCH 10, 2022
7:00 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Bob Brassess called the Board of Aldermen Meeting of the City of Fenton, St. Louis County, Missouri to order at 7:02 p.m. on Thursday, March 10, 2022. The meeting was held at Fenton City Hall and via Zoom Videoconference (RSMo 610.015).

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Brassess led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

City Clerk Jane Hungler called the roll:

Alderman Ralph Cruets - *Present*

Alderman Robin Huels - *Present*
conference

Alderman Brian Wisbrock - *Present*

Alderman Joe Maurath - *Present*

Alderman Chris Clauss - *Present*

Alderman Kevin Yarbrough - *Present* - *via video*

Alderman Tom Heard - *Present*

Alderman Susan Jokerst - *Absent*

Seven Aldermen were present at the opening of the meeting.

CITY OFFICIALS PRESENT:

Mayor Bob Brassess

City Attorney Erin Seele, Esq.

City Administrator Andrea N. Finkbiner - *via video conference*

City Clerk Jane Hungler

Public Works Director Dan Howard

St. Louis County Police Precinct Captain Kevin Lawson

MAYOR'S COMMENTS

Mayor Brasses suggested allowing Staff to review options for consideration of upgrading the video system in the Aldermanic Chambers, as the current system does not allow the public to see the Board clearly via Zoom. There were no objections.

Mayor Brasses suggested to move Planning and Zoning and Miscellaneous topics next on agenda. Alderman Maurath made a motion to move Planning and Zoning and Miscellaneous topics next on agenda. The motion was seconded by Alderman Huels. The motion was passed unanimously by voice vote.

PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION MEETING MARCH 1, 2022 – UPDATE/DISCUSSION

Ald. Maurath, Liaison

All recommendations from the Planning and Zoning Commission will be forwarded to the next Board Meeting for approval unless otherwise noted.

- **Announcements for the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting:**
 - **Due to the General Election, the next Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting will be on Wednesday, April 6th.**
- **The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended the following:**
 - **Approval with conditions, of CASE 2022-SP-02:** A Petition by Caplaco Forty-Two, Inc. and Dierbergs Fenton Crossing, LLC for review of a Sign Plan for proposed signage for Dierbergs Fenton Crossing. The properties are addressed as 405 and 487 Old Smizer Mill Road and are zoned “C-1” Commercial District.

Conditions of Approval:

1. The Sign Plan approval is for a new 50-foot Pole Sign located at 405 Old Smizer Mill Road and a 20-foot Monument Sign Located at 487 Old Smizer Mill Road, as indicated in the attached renderings and Site Plan, dated January 28, 2022.
2. Approval of this Sign Plan renders the previous Sign Plan for a proposed Monument Sign at 487 Old Smizer Mill Road null and void.
3. Evidence of an easement agreement to locate an Off-Premises at 487 Old Smizer Mill Road will be required.
4. Any proposed future Signs for the subject location that are not in compliance with the Sign Code shall require a separate Sign Plan review. Nothing in this requirement shall prevent the installation of new Signs in compliance with the Sign Code, via applicable permits.
5. Municipal Zoning Approval by the City of Fenton and a Sign Permit issued by St. Louis County will be required for the proposed signs.
6. Compliance with all other applicable City Codes and Ordinances.

Alderman Maurath summarized the application and noted the location consists of a 146,586 square feet of commercial retail space. The prior owners had an approved sign plan; however, those signs were not installed.

Dan Capps with Capital Land Company, 11850 Studt Ave., Creve Coeur, MO 63141 was present to answer any questions. Alderman Heard inquired on why a reduction in sign height from previously approved request from the prior owners. Mr. Capps stated as in their other properties they typically do not install extremely tall monument signs on a high traffic and speed road such as Highway 141.

MISCELLANEOUS

- **Discussion regarding the City’s Newsletter.**

Alderman Maurath stated he believes the intent of the newsletter was to inform residents of what has been happening in the City and it includes police department topics. Unfortunately, in the last couple of months, he believes that has been veered from and has become a platform for perhaps one side of an issue or comment, and quite frankly, he is disturbed by that. Residents that he talked to or that have

called are disturbed by it also. So, at some point in time the Board has to come up with something that does not create the havoc that he believes the last couple articles have created not only with employees but with City residents as well. He does not know quite what the answer is. There has been some thought about changing some of the newsletter formats, however quite frankly at this time with lack of personnel he believes it is better to stay the course. Unfortunately, it seems like every time there are issues, the first thing we want to do is legislate our way in or out of it and he feels that is not the correct procedure. Alderman Maurath suggested to consider suspending Mayor's comments and next comments/article should be information of the upcoming Proposition U ballot item.

Mayor Brasses shared as he had proposed earlier in the day to Alderman Maurath suggesting the next article should be for Proposition U and the following would be election results and inviting the public for the installation of the new Board members. Mayor Brasses suggested Alderman Maurath or Alderman Huels submit an article on the YMCA discussions the following article. Mayor Brasses further shared an idea to allow webpages for each ward or Alderman to have an extra connection with their citizens. It allows better feedback from the public.

Alderman Yarbrough inquired how long the newsletter has been circulating in current form and feels it has not been an issue with previous administrations. He had not received any comments, issues, concerns, or complaints regarding the newsletter or its content from his ward. He expressed he was not in favor of changing the newsletter. The Mayor is the person that communicates to the constituents through the newsletter.

Alderman Cruts expressed he does not care too much what is in the newsletter as far as what Board agrees to. He further expressed he feels at no time should the newsletter be used in such a way that it is not general knowledge to our residents of Fenton for their benefit. There should not be anything negativity, especially if it is not 100% true. He stated in the future there can be an opinion, but not a podium or platform to influence something.

Alderman Huels shared she always thought that the newsletter was a great idea and likes the Mayor's Corner. The only time she has had issues with it is when it is used as a weapon, to air personal grievances, or to speak on issues that is their personal grievance against another person, as that is not what the newsletter is for. It is for knowledge not personal opinions about a person.

Alderman Yarbrough agreed with Alderman Cruts that he does not want to see negativity in the newsletter or in meetings. Alderman Huels agreed.

Alderman Maurath shared the newsletter was originally initiated by Dixie Holland and himself, just for their ward, but it was so well received by other members and other wards, that a test run for the newsletter was conduct and it has remained since then. It has grown from there but was not intended to be used by one person as a platform to air grievances, it was intended to share information on what had transpired, and final decisions made. He feels the recent article created a stir with employees and a majority of members of the Board that voted in favor of PTO reduction. The Board sets the policy for the newsletter, not the Mayor and unfortunately at some point in time, the Board may have to regulate and set a policy for the newsletter. He does not want to see the newsletter go away, not the intention, but the ill receive comments have to stop. Alderman Maurath stated to let the record be set straight, they do not want to encounter the same type of comments as over the last couple of months in the future newsletters.

Alderman Clauss shared that some of the people that she talked to were very interested in the comments. It is difficult to take at face value what everybody is heard because there seems to be a conflict as not everyone disliked or were confused with the last couple articles.

Alderman Maurath stated he was not disagreeing, but the people he spoke with did not like the demeaning content of the newsletter.

Alderman Cruts shared his people were calling him from his ward, asking questions and saying, “why don't you care about the City employees”. So, the opinion of the people he serves believe he does not care and that is far from the truth. That is why he is upset about the newsletter. He does not mind somebody else having opinion and it is healthy for government to have multiple opinions, discuss it, and have a right to their opinion. As stated in prior meeting respect and reputation is everything to him.

Alderman Clauss shared she was a little confused about Alderman Cruts' statement as he said that he had a differing opinion, and the Board's job is to do what they believe is in the best interest of the City. An Alderman should be able to defend their decisions whenever that happens. She recalled several years ago there was an issue where there was a stalemate about what should be preceded immediately after the people were sworn in. She received a lot of negative responses; however, she was and still can defend her opinion and does not have a problem defending her opinion and if people disagree with her opinion that is their propogative.

Alderman Cruts agreed, however in the case of newsletter it was stated that he did not care about the City of Fenton, he feels he had no recourse to defend himself. Alderman Clauss inquired how he had no recourse. Alderman Cruts replied he was unable to put anything in the newsletter or his explanation of his voting reasons except for when he started talking to them individually. He feels he should not have to explain that to the people. Alderman Clauss inquired if it is the job of an Alderman to explain things to the people. Alderman Cruts replied once an explanation is given, he should not have to continue to defend himself.

Alderman Maurath stated for the record the majority of the Board of Aldermen voted to set a policy and change an ordinance. It was a majority that did that. The Mayor had every right to veto, but not to use the newsletter to put his spin on it. Of those people that called him that had an interest on the topic; once they understood what the situation and issue was, they did not have a problem with what the majority of the Board did. They did think the newsletter article was demeaning. The newsletter is to provide information on the outcome only not any one's personal spin. Alderman Maurath agreed that Alderman Cruts had no opportunity to counter the newsletter.

Alderman Huels shared her problem was simply based on feelings and attitude “I never got that, so why should we provided that to our new hires”. She never said that; those words never came out of her mouth, nor does she believe that anyone that voted for the reduction stated that, but that was the opinion in the newsletter.

Alderman Heard expressed it is a stylistic choice of how the Mayor approached presenting information in the newsletter. He feels the newsletter should not reflect any politicized opinions and should be neutral in sharing information. He would like to see courtesy and politeness toward opponents. He believes there was a little edge to some of the monthly newsletters. The articles cannot be taken back, but future articles should be neutral and keep politics out.

Alderman Wisbrock agreed with Alderman Heard. Needs to stay matter of fact, informational, what is coming up in the community, what is going on, what has passed or failed during Board sessions and anything residents should know about and is fact based.

Mayor Brasses shared he believes information about Proposition U would be beneficial in the next newsletter in the Mayor's Corner. He would like to publicize election results in the newsletter and invite Eagle Scouts or veterans' group to conduct opening flag ceremony at upcoming meeting. Alderman Huels voiced she would contact scouts to coordinate. In the June newsletter he would like to have Alderman Maurath submit an article regarding the YMCA for the Mayor's Corner.

- **Discussion regarding the Mayor's residence.**

Alderman Maurath shared he wanted to discuss Mayor's residence to separate fact from fiction. The intent of the residents when they elected the officials to office. He does not feel there has really been a serving Mayor in office since September. There has been no residency for six (6) months. Now a lease has transpired. Board needs to consider what is in the best interest of the City.

Mayor Brasses shared from the January 13, 2022, minutes Mayor's comments, "he would not be running again in elections in 2023. He has sold his home and has residence in Fenton thanks to Alderman Maurath..." and those minutes were approved by the Board on January 27, 2022. He feels it appears to more of interest in relation to PTO discussions. He has been looking for residence since January when the PTO conflict began in January with Alderman Maurath.

Alderman Yarbrough asked the City Attorney for clarification on intent of an elected official. City Attorney Erin Seele advised each case is fact specific, there is no clear definition of residency in law or Fenton Code. In case law that interprets what residency means, they review both facts and the intent of the person. Intent is a strong factor they review. Recent case, a person was renting a room in district and staying overnights there, but also had addresses outside the district. The court, based on those facts, the courts ruled in favor of the intent of the person. Alderman Yarbrough expressed he feels the Mayor had intent with Alderman Maurath. Then that arrangement went sour. The Mayor is doing the best he can for the City and cares for the City. He felt that it was the right thing to do in providing him a place to stay and the Mayor also wanted a place to stay. There is nothing bogus about the lease. He feels the issue revolves around the PTO vote.

Alderman Maurath stated for the record that he did vote in favor to approve the minutes, as that was what was said under the Mayor's comments. He further stated the residency concern has nothing to do with the PTO vote. It is based on no residency, no rent, and no lease for six (6) months. And just because the Mayor signed a lease on March 3rd, he feels it is not taken care of and not in the best interest of the City. When he was younger, he moved to Fenton from Sunset Hills, he intended to move back to Sunset Hills but never did, he has been a Fenton resident for 45 years. He inquired who is legally at the helm now and he feels the Mayor is not. Alderman Yarbrough reiterated the City Attorney said the law is unclear and believes the Mayor is in the right. Alderman Huels inquired if unclear how is it right. Alderman Yarbrough replied through intent.

City Attorney Erin Seele expressed the court would have to review residency question if Board removed and Mayor appealed. If the Board voted to remove the Mayor only for residency that is what would be reviewed and fact specific. There is nothing Staff or City Attorney can or will do to investigate this matter without direction from the Board. The Board has options, can remove Mayor for good cause, such as no residency, dishonest, and/or other evidence of good cause. The Board can direct City Attorney to do so or as recommended in the past is hire a third-party attorney do so, or can report matter to a prosecuting attorney, no guarantee they would accept the case, and noted typically cases through a prosecuting attorney is a slow process.

Alderman Huels inquired at least meeting it was stated by the Mayor he had not received any calls regarding this matter and asked if that was still true. Mayor Brasses replied he had received one (1) call from Rodney Jokerst and two (2) emails (Scott Maserang and Ms. Toretto). She did not have issue until she received a call from a resident with concerns. She does not relate this matter to the PTO vote, she relates this matter to concerns she is receiving from her residents.

Alderman Wisbrock stated the residents voted in a resident of Fenton with the intent that official would be a resident of Fenton. Fenton is so beloved in the Mayor's and his families heart, but he left the City and moved away, that is his issue. Mayor Brasses voiced he has a residence now and thought he had a residence then, that is what he believes and if this would go to court that is what he would testify to.

Mayor Brasses asked Alderman Maurath if further discussion could be postponed until after the election. Alderman Maurath replied he believes the issue is paramount, as with the statement “he thought he had an agreement” and did not live in the City for six (6) months, intent is to live in the City not just a place that is rented or a signed lease and not live there. Alderman Maurath expressed he believes this issue should be handled post haste.

FINANCE – *Ald. Heard, Chairman (Cruts, Maurath, Huels)*

There was nothing to report.

Mayor Brasses thanked Alderman Huels for an exemplary job as liaison at last Parks and Recreation Committee meeting. Mayor exited the meeting as he was not feeling well from recent dental surgery and asked Alderman Maurath to chair the remainder of the meeting.

PARK & RECREATION COMMITTEE MEETING MARCH 7, 2022 – UPDATE/ DISCUSSION

Ald. Huels, Liaison

Alderman Huels recognized the newest member of the Parks and Recreation Committee who was in attendance of the meeting. Alderman Huels announced that Ward 4 member, Eric Robeck submitted his resignation from the Parks and Recreation Committee and Ward 1 member, Mike McPeck submitted his resignation as he will be moving out of state.

All recommendations from the Park and Recreation Committee will be forwarded to the next Board Meeting for approval unless otherwise noted.

- **The Park and Recreation Committee recommended the following:**
 - **Approval to have Staff to look into grant opportunities for Honeysuckle removal in Bud Weil Park.**
 - **Approval to have the City’s on-call, as-need engineer (Cochran) review the feasibility of an ADA compliant access ramp to the Bud Weil Park structure and provide a cost estimate for the same.**
 - **Approval to purchase/plant trees in certain City Parks, with the exception of the Baldcypress trees around Westside Park Lake.**

Alderman Huels noted the estimate to plant the trees is \$12,000 and there is a \$20,000 planting budget for 2022. Alderman Maurath shared there was discussion on what types of trees should be planted and there was a recommendation from arborist with Missouri Department of Conservation such as red oaks (that drop a lot of acorns), need to review locations and effects of root systems prior to planting. Alderman Huels noted the locations and selection of tree type were the recommendation of Eric Robeck. Staff needs to know locations and tree type are correct recommendations.
 - **Approval to purchase/plant water plants around Westside Park Lake, at a cost not to exceed \$500.**
- **Other items from the Parks and Recreation Department:**
 - **Consideration of a request by St. Paul Athletic Association to host the annual soccer tournament at Fenton City Park.**

As required by Section 220.170(G) of the Municipal Code, the request by St. Paul Athletic Association to host an annual soccer tournament in Fenton City Park (August 8th through 14th) requires Board approval as the event will require the rental of the majority of the park due to the expected number of participants.

Additionally, the request requires a waiver by the Board, per Section 220.170(D)(2) as the organization is only able to provide \$2 million in insurance in lieu of the required \$3 million.

Finally, the Board must also approve the request for a reduce rental rate to \$2,500 (a \$5,584 reduction) for the rental of all of the soccer fields and pavilion. It is noted that \$2,500 is the fee that has been charged in the past for the event. It is also noted that the organization does stripe all of the fields for the tournament, so the fields are ready for the City's fall soccer season.

Alderman Clauss inquired if there was consideration to raise the rental rate. Alderman Huels replied for this year keep rental rate the same and can discuss in future increase consideration for next year. Alderman Maurath inquired if current rate was sufficient. City Administrator Nikki Finkbiner stated she would have to review rentals and can bring information to the Board before the Board meeting. She is unsure how the reduced rate was set in prior years. Alderman Wisbrock suggested notifying St. Paul of consideration of possible rate increase. Alderman Clauss inquired if a reduced rate was offered to softball. Public Works Director Dan Howard replied early this year rate was set for full facility rental at \$2,500 for a full weekend. As to Fenton City Park, St. Paul's is the only organization to rent the entire park. Pavilion rentals in that park are \$70 for the day and there are no softball tournaments in the park during that time. Alderman Cruts expressed St. Paul's is a resident of Fenton and they bring a lot of people to the area for their tournament. Alderman Maurath noted St. Paul's is a not-for-profit organization unlike the softball organizations.

Alderman Wisbrock inquired if there would be two (2) big events occurring at the same time. Public Works Director Dan Howard replied there was last year, and it was without problems.

Alderman Heard inquired on waiver for insurance. City Attorney Erin Seele replied it is a sovereign immunity limit as the statutes put a cap on the limit of damages against a political subdivision for certain areas where sovereign immunity is waived. The Board can modify or waive the amount of insurance, if they chose, as this insurance covers any incidents arising but if there were to be a serious incident, the City could be liable for any gap between the amount of insurance obtained and the amount of then-current sovereign immunity limit. Alderman Heard inquired on umbrella policy. City Attorney Erin Seele replied yes, it is an additional expense to the requester for an umbrella policy.

Following discussion, Alderman Huels made a motion to forward to the March Board of Aldermen meeting the request by St. Paul Athletic Association to host the annual soccer tournament at Fenton City Park August 8th through 14th, waiver to provide \$2 million in insurance in lieu of the required \$3 million and reduced rental rate to \$2,500. The motion was seconded by Alderman Cruts. Upon a vote being taken, the motion passed unanimously by the Board of Aldermen.

▪ **Request to replace or upgrade the existing Chemtrol units at RiverChase.**

Currently an existing Chemtrol (1 or 4) needs to be repaired or upgraded. The Chemtrol regulates the chemicals in the pools at RiverChase. In review of the existing Chemtrol units, it is noted that they are at least eight (8) years of age and repair of the unit requires that it be shipped to the manufacture for repair.

Based on the above, Staff began looking at the cost to upgrade or replace the existing Chemtrol units. Midwest Pool and Spa (utilized by the YMCA for pool maintenance and repair) provided quotes to replace or upgrade all four (4) units. It is noted that the upgrade comes with a 1-year warranty and the replacement has a 5-year warranty. Additionally, both options would allow for control of the system off-site (via the internet/phone) and will provide alerts regarding chemical levels. Furthermore, these units are not specific to the existing pools and could be reutilized should the City opt to renovate/replace the pools in the future.

Understanding that the quote came from the YMCA's contractor (which is the regional Chemtrol dealer), Staff wanted to see if Westport Pools (the City's contracted on-call pool maintenance and repair service contractor) could also provide a quote. Per Westport, they are not able to provide a

Chemtrol quote, but can provide a quote for another brand. Once that quote is received, the same will be forwarded to the Board.

The YMCA has had a very positive experience with this latest version of Chemtrollers and has a strong interest in keeping this pool integrated into YMCA's existing system, knowledge base, and skillset. As such, they are willing to provide one (1) of the four (4) Chemtrollers at no cost to the City. This would effectively reduce the cost to the City by \$6,865. This would make the total cost to the City \$20,673. A new system would require learning a new system for both City and Y staff. The costs of this learning curve are unknown.

City Administrator Nikki Finkbiner explained as the current control units are in need of upgrade, the proposed units would allow option to control chemicals via phone to keep pools up and running more efficiently. Public Works Director Dan Howard shared this upgraded option allows to monitor parts per million. Alderman Heard inquired on life expediency of the units. Public Works Director Dan Howard replied typically five (5) years due to technology.

Following discussion, Alderman Huels made a motion to replace the existing Chemtrol units at RiverChase with PC2100's through Midwest Pool Court Co. at City cost of \$20,673 for three (3) units and accept the YMCA's offer to pay for one (1) of the units. The motion was seconded by Alderman Claus. Upon a vote being taken, the motion passed unanimously by the Board of Aldermen.

▪ **Request for an agreement with OSSA for T-Ball/Coach Pitch Program.**

The City of Fenton is looking to partner with OSSA for the 2022 City of Fenton T-Ball/Coach Pitch Program. The City partnered with OSSA for approximately 7-8 years prior to Covid. The program was very successful, and many questions have been asked if the City was looking at doing this partnership again.

The program for 2022 will consist of instructional training for T-Ball/Coach Pitch age participants for Baseball and Softball.

AGES 4-6:	\$59 for Residents \$69 for Non-Residents
AGES 7-9:	\$69 for Residents \$79 for Non-Residents
AGES 10-12:	\$69 for Residents \$79 for Non-Residents

The City will provide the Shirts (roughly \$6 each). The plan would be to offer two (2) 6-Week Sessions this Spring/Summer. If approved, the first session will be scheduled to begin the first week of May. Each session would consist of six (6) weeks. OSSA will provide all the instructors, with the City supplying some equipment already owned by the City. The sessions will be held at Fenton City Park. Each session will run independently of each other. Under the agreement, the City will advertise, register, and collect fees for all participants for the programs offered. OSSA would provide the instruction and coaching for the programs and have agreed to compensation of a 70% OSSA - 30% City per participant per session.

Public Works Director Dan Howard shared OSSA wanted to ensure marketing as prior to Covid it was known as Ozzie Smith's Sports Academy. They are no longer affiliated with the Ozzie Smith but are the same owners. Alderman Maurath inquired how many participants there have been in the past. Public Works Director Dan Howard replied over two hundred (200) participants in prior years.

City Administrator Nikki Finkbinder inquired when the anticipated start date would be. Public Works Director Dan Howard replied if Board approves partnership, registrations will begin.

Alderman Huels and Alderman Clauss inquired if there was staff to take the registration and fees. Public Works Director Dan Howard replied yes, managing right now (himself, PW Administrative Assistant-Angie Shoemate, and MOD's at RiverChase). City Administrator Nikki Finkbinder shared most registrations are completed by participate through the Community Pass program and approved by Staff. Alderman Wisbrock suggested considering hiring additional part-time staff to assist as the programs grow.

Following discussion, Alderman Huels made a motion to forward to the March Board of Aldermen meeting the request for an agreement with OSSA for T-Ball/Coach Pitch Program. The motion was seconded by Alderman Wisbrock. Upon a vote being taken, the motion passed unanimously by the Board of Aldermen.

▪ **Discussion regarding having the outdoor pools at RiverChase painted by a contractor in advance of the Summer 2022 pool season.**

Public Works Director Dan Howard shared typically in past City Staff has painted the pools every other year; with two (2) employees and took approximately two (2) weeks to complete. Due to staffing shortage Public Works is requesting that the Board consider hiring a contractor to perform this service as this is a time sensitive project to allow for filling of the pool in advance of the opening weekend. Staff is in process to obtain pricing from three (3) companies. One contractor (that provided the City this service in the past) stated if selected they would be able to complete the service by Memorial Day, unfortunately it needs to be completed sooner to address any unknown concerns. Two (2) companies will be on site Friday, March 11th to submit quotes.

Alderman Wisbrock inquired if City would be providing the paint. Public Works Director Dan Howard replied no, the contractor would supply the paint. However, if a contractor is not utilized, paint selection will be tentatively secured with a local vendor to be completed by Staff. Alderman City Administrator Nikki Finkbinder noted that a special meeting may be called to get a contract with a contractor approved.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Alderman Cruts stated he noticed that in the newsletter there was a meeting scheduled for Wednesday, March 23rd and further stated he would like to see agenda from Staff and need packet information a week prior to the meeting for review and feels it is not a good use of time.

Scott Maserang -1620 Uthoff, Fenton MO 63026 shared observations regarding Mayor Brasses' residency. If his intent was to still live in Fenton, why is his personal property tax account no longer registered with St. Louis County, it is inactive and has been removed. He believes that Mayor Brasses is now paying taxes in another county. If his intent is to stay in Fenton, why aren't his vehicles registered in St. Louis County to pay personal property taxes?

HEALTH/SAFETY – *Ald. Jokerst, Chairman (Yarbrough, Wisbrock, Clauss)*

There were no items for discussion.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 8:31 p.m., Alderman Clauss made a motion to enter into Executive Session pursuant to Section 610.021: 1) Legal actions, causes of action or litigation, (3) Hiring, firing, disciplining, or promoting employees and (13) Individually identifiable personal records, performance ratings or records pertaining to employees and or applicants for employment. The motion was seconded by Alderman Cruts.

Upon the roll being called, the Board of Aldermen voted as follows:

Alderman Cruts *Aye*
Alderman Yarbrough *Aye*
Alderman Wisbrock *Aye*
Alderman Maurath *Aye*

Alderman Clauss *Aye*
Alderman Huels *Aye*
Alderman Heard *Aye*
Alderman Jokerst *Absent*

It was announced that the motion was passed unanimously by the Board of Aldermen.

ADJOURNMENT

At 9:16 p.m. Alderman Maurath reconvened the meeting at which time, with no other business before the Board, Alderman Huels made a motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Alderman Wisbrock. Upon a vote being taken, it was announced that the motion was passed unanimously by the Board of Aldermen.

Respectfully submitted,

Jane Hungler
City Clerk
City of Fenton

DRAFT